In The Matter Of:

Arizona Manufactured Housing Board Meeting

Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings April 16, 2014

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters

2398 E. Camelback Road, Suite 260 Phoenix, AZ 85016

www.arizonacourtreporters.com

602.264.2230

Original File mfgbrd041614.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

ARIZONA MANUFACTURED HOUSING BOARD MEETING

THE REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Phoenix, Arizona, April 16, 2014 1:00 o'clock p.m.

PREPARED BY: CAROLE A. WHIPPLE Certified Court Reporter Certificate #50089

PREPARED FOR:

(ASCII/COPY)

		:	2
1	AGENDA		
2		PAGE:	
3	Call to Order	4	
4	Roll Call	4	
5	Review and Action on Transcript & Minutes	5	
6	Departmental Information	6	
7	Financial Report	10	
8	Recovery Fund		
9	a. Recovery Fund Balance	12	
10	b. Consumer Complaint Summary	13	
11	Licensing	16	
12	Fee Structure	18	
13	Call to Public	45	
14	Announcement of Future Hearing Dates	52	
15	Adjournment	55	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

3 1 THE ARIZONA MANUFACTURED HOUSING BOARD 2 taken on April 16, 2014, commencing at 1:00 p.m. at the 3 Offices of ARIZONA MANUFACTURED HOUSING, at 1110 West 4 Washington Street, Suite 100, Phoenix, Arizona, before 5 CAROLE A. WHIPPLE, a Certified Court Reporter in the State 6 of Arizona. 7 8 APPEARANCES OF BOARD MEMBERS: 9 Sam Baird, Chairman Arthur Chick Paul DeSanctis (telephonic) 10 Terry Gleeson Neal Haney 11 Joe Hart 12 Everette Hoyle Zeek Ojeh (telephonic) 13 APPEARANCES OF AGENCY MEMBERS: 14 Gene Palma 15 Debra Blake Bridget Harrington Ayde Marquez 16 17 ALSO PRESENT: Michael Minnaugh, New Board Member 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	4
1	Phoenix, Arizona
2	April 16, 2014 1:09 o'clock p.m.
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	MR. BAIRD: Okay. Let's call the meeting to
6	order. This is Wednesday April 16
7	MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman.
8	MR. BAIRD: Yes.
9	MS. MARQUEZ: Could you do that again, I'm sorry.
10	MR. BAIRD: Thank you. I'd like to call the
11	meeting to order, the April 16th meeting of the Board of
12	Manufactured Housing. Roll call, please.
13	MS. MARQUEZ: Okay. Sam Baird?
14	MR. BAIRD: I'm here.
15	MS. MARQUEZ: Arthur Chick?
16	MR. CHICK: Here.
17	MS. MARQUEZ: Paul DeSanctis?
18	MR. DESANCTIS: Here.
19	MS. MARQUEZ: Terry Gleeson?
20	MR. GLEESON: Here.
21	MS. MARQUEZ: Neal Haney?
22	MR. HANEY: Here.
23	MS. MARQUEZ: Joe Hart?
24	MR. HART: Here.
25	MS. MARQUEZ: Everette Hoyle?

5 1 MR. HOYLE: Here. 2 MS. MARQUEZ: Zeek Ojeh? 3 MR. OJEH: Here. MS. MARQUEZ: Gene Palma? 4 5 MR. PALMA: Here. MS. MARQUEZ: 6 Debra Blake? 7 MS. BLAKE: Here. 8 MS. MARQUEZ: Bridget Harrington? 9 MS. HARRINGTON: Here. 10 MR. BAIRD: Everyone, great. Thank you. item on the agenda is a review of our minutes from the last 11 12 meeting. We've all had this sent to us electronically. Any 13 comments or discussion need to be had on that? No? If not, the chairman will entertain a motion to accept. 14 15 MR. HART: Second. MR. BAIRD: No, we have to have a first first. 16 17 MR. HART: Oh, first. 18 MR. GLEESON: Second. Thank you. All in favor signify by 19 MR. BAIRD: 20 saying aye. Thank you very much. All right. Departmental information is the next step. We've asked the state for 21 those of you who don't know to give us kind of a state of 22 the state update on what's been going on, what's going on 23 now with the department. 24 Debra? 25 MS. BLAKE: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, board

members, first topic I'd like to give you is the legislative update. Governor Brewer has signed the state budget last week. So that is good news. This is also probably an indication that the session will be done very soon. Sometime this month most likely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our continuation bill now is in the final steps in the senate process and is ready as soon as they have at least one more meeting to be transmitted to the Governor for signature. We did have some opposition to the However, it appears that the bill is moving forward as drafted with an eight year continuation. AMHO, one of our stakeholders, has a bill also making its way through the final processes. It did have a meeting with the House committee yesterday, April 15th, but it did fail through So my understanding is that it is to be that process. reheard tomorrow. I don't know the reasons as to why it failed. But the two key pieces in that bill that tend to change statutes, one the department brought forth to AMHO, that is there's a statutory limit of \$1000 to assist those who qualify based on their financial income to rehabilitate an older mobile home to make it safer. It appears based on information that the department has received it's just basically impossible to do it for \$1000 and we've asked that to be raised to \$1500. AMHO took that forward for us. And that's just to make it align with the current costs and

labor.

The other piece was to correct language currently in statute that indicates payments from the relocation fund are to be made based on department rule. There are no rules in place for landlord/tenant statutes. It's Title 33. And so the language now proposed in the bill is consistent with the department's current written policy on who we pay and when we pay. And so, again, I will give you an update when I know where that bill is going.

The Manufactured Housing Consensus Committee also had a bill that they ran this legislative session that had to do with abandonment of homes in parks and it did not receive a hearing as it moved through its process in the legislature, and according to my conversation with Susan Brenton who is the executive director for that organization the bill will not make it through this session but they may address it again next session.

Board changes: Governor Brewer appointed
Mike Minnaugh to the public seat. Senate confirmation was
this morning. Mr. Minnaugh joined us today in public. We
are waiting for his loyalty oath. Once that is signed he'll
be able to participate as a board member beginning with the
next quarterly meeting.

Staff changes for the department: We did post for the Tucson installation inspector position in

March. We received about 35 applications. Of that nine applicants were selected for interviews and we'll be doing second interviews next week hoping to finalize the decision on that.

Other changes: HUD announcement. HUD has a new administrator that was appointed on March 6th. Her name is Pamela Danner. She has a history from the 1980's as serving as counsel to HUD and direct experience with manufactured housing in that role as well. She is the principal of a law firm in D.C. Actually in Virginia. I've had good feedback from a couple of HUD employees this week who have indicated they're really pleased so far. When they bring questions to her they actually get an answer and that had not been their experience for the last couple years. So that's good news.

Along with that HUD is making some staff changes in terms of it appears moving people around. Our new FELEA liaison had changed from Rick Mendelin who has been in that role certainly since I've been with the department for eight years. The new individual who I spoke with on the phone is Eric Burrs. Based on a brief phone conversation it seems like he is excited about his new responsibilities and looking forward to working with us.

As you know from the last board meeting we've established some quarterly meetings with Arizona Housing

Association at their request. The purpose is to look at primarily installation issues. We did meet last week. We were able to resolve one issue that had to do with Yavapai County who is an intergovernmental partner with us to perform inspections in the county, and apparently they were requiring separate permits for air conditioning for manufactured homes. And there are other topics that we're still working on.

The other thing having to do with in that same arena is we've identified a real increase with our own licensee installers, beginning work without permits, closing off work before it's inspected and other violations.

According to those licensees I spoke with they pointed the finger at retailers putting the pressure on them to get the work done as quickly as possible. I've had conversations with Ken Anderson would is here today as well who is the president of Arizona Housing and he's agreed to get the word along to retailers. So we appreciate any help there.

Just a quick recap of the action items from the prior board meeting. In January the installation training schedule has been completed thanks to Ayde. She posted it on the website. We have emailed it to all of our installer licensees, all Registrar of Contractor licensees, who purchased installation certificates or insignias from all of our IGA partners. And we also emailed an invitation

to all manufacturers, dealers, salespersons and have also posted that on our website. So they are invited to attend, encouraged to attend and there will be no fee for them.

And the last item that we followed through with was Ayde did a good job you'll see in this board packet of removing new licensee information which you all get through email communication every month and only providing the monthly graphs for the most current month. In this particular packet you're going to get that twice because you're getting fiscal '13 information. So she did provide that as charts for that as well. That's all the department updates.

MR. BAIRD: Great. Thank you, Debra. Anybody have any comments? Questions from the department? As always very complete. Thank you.

MS. BLAKE: You're welcome.

MR. BAIRD: The next item is a financial review.

Who should we ask to take us through that? Debra, would you please do that?

MS. BLAKE: Okay. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before we start to look at the financial reports -- well, no I'm sorry. I'll wait on that. Under the tab marked financial report -- we thank you, again, Ayde, for putting the packets together so well. The first financial report provided there for you is the June 2013 which is the end of

1 the fiscal year for us. So I wanted you to have those as a point of reference for the fee discussion that we'll get to 2 when it's available on the agenda shortly. And then the 3 most current three months for this fiscal year which are 4 5 December, January and February. Any questions or comments or changes that you would like to these reports? 6 7 MR. BAIRD: Most of us have already looked at it 8 I would assume as part of the email. So give everybody a 9 few seconds to look through it. I'll make a comment about If you look at the production report, the 10 the production. amount of units being built are significantly higher than 11 12 last year. Can't say the same -- if you look across the 13 board you can see that the industry is enjoying a little bit of an uptake. 14 15 Do you have any indication whether MR. HANEY: 16 that -- what type of shipments those are? Private land? Subdivisions? 17 18 We don't break it down that way. MR. BAIRD: I thought you might have an idea. 19 MR. HANEY: You mean from my personal business 20 MR. BAIRD: 21 aspect? 22 MR. HANEY: I thought that you knew everything. 23 MR. BAIRD: No, I don't. 24 MR. HANEY: Okay. 25 MR. BAIRD: I think overall it's been a general

increase for both land-owned and in-park business. 1 has been some uptake in the modular business in Arizona. 2 Not much, but some. There's a project or two down for a 3 mining concern that was a large portion of this over the 4 5 last three months. Housing for their employees. Morenci/Safford area if that helps. But there's a general 6 7 And it's not just Arizona. It's our surrounding uptake. 8 area and nationally as well. 9 (Participant from audience starts to speak.) 10 MR. BAIRD: I'm sorry, you have to wait for the genral public comments according to statutes. 11 Okay. Ιf 12 there is no other questions or no other comments the 13 chairman will entertain a motion to accept the financial statements. 14 15 MR. HANEY: So moved. MR. BAIRD: 16 So moved. Second? 17 MR. GLEESON: Second. MR. BAIRD: All in favor aye? All right. 18 The recovery fund is next. 19 20 MS. BLAKE: Begins on page 23 under the tab On that page you'll see just the summary of 21 recovery fund. 22 the balance, the interest earned, adjusted new balance. Currently there are no claims waiting for hearing, none that 23 24 have been to hearing waiting for payment and so son. 25 that new balance moving forward at this point is 206,000

which is a far cry from what it used to be but it's going in the right direction.

Beginning on page 24 is a summary of the consumer complaints that we've had and there are four of those. They're listed for you. One of them, the third one down, Consumer Bailey, potentially is available for recovery fund if needed. The purchase price of that home was 61,000 and, however, the dealer license is valid, so we would seek recourse there first.

Beginning on page 25 and 26 there's some more detail of each of those complaints as to what the issues were, who their manufacturer, installer or dealer were that was cited as responsible for repairs to the complaint.

MR. BAIRD: So this one for the customer named Complainant Bailey, that's all been assigned to the retailer?

MS. BLAKE: Yes. I understand the retailer has been out there to address the corrections. But at this point in time we're waiting to hear back from the homeowners to the status of what those corrections are and whether or not they're going to sign off on them.

MR. BAIRD: So we have an installer's bond and retailer's bond --

MS. BLAKE: Yes.

MR. BAIRD: -- that we could go after.

1 MS. BLAKE: Just the dealer bond.

2 MR. BAIRD: Nothing's been assigned to the

3 installer?

4 MS. BLAKE: Nothing's been assigned to the

5 installer. Just to the dealer.

MR. BAIRD: Okay. Any issues?

MR. HANEY: It seems to me that with the uptake in shipments that the complaints don't seem to be -- we've only -- the overall number of complaints seems to be down in spite of the fact there's being more shipped.

MR. BAIRD: Good observation I think. Personal observation is that the escrow law that we undertook was working the way we hoped it would and that the licensees are accepting responsibility much better than they have in the past.

MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman and board members, I would just add to that that the quality assurance programs that were driven so hard in 2009 and '10 in all the manufacturing facilities, we began to track and see fewer complaints as a result of that. The installation standards program that came in January of 2009 impacted that in a positive way as well. A lot of that increase in shipments, too, just pointed your attention to our shipments that are going out of state. So if there would be a complaint we should still hear about it but it may take a bit longer. So

- 1 I think in general it's certainly much better.
- 2 MR. HART: It is working so well I'd like to put
- 3 on the table again that the master installer for
- 4 manufactured homes be able to install a commercial modular
- 5 building.
- 6 MR. BAIRD: Okay. We can add that as an agenda
- 7 item to the next meeting.
- 8 MR. HART: Perfect.
- 9 MS. BLAKE: Yes, Mr. Chairman and board members.
- 10 We have talked about that at past board meetings and we can
- 11 certainly agendize it to talk about it more and be aware too
- 12 that that takes a change to the rules to be able to do that.
- 13 MR. BAIRD: We'll talk about that next time. So
- 14 let's everybody think about it. If you're in favor of that
- 15 let's hear the comments we need to talk about. It will
- 16 require a change in the law which means it would be a
- 17 legislative change. So keep that in mind. And the timing
- 18 of it.
- MR. HART: Uh-huh.
- 20 MR. BAIRD: No problem with that. All right.
- 21 Any other issues on the recovery fund? I will say one more
- 22 thing since you brought up the out-of-state business. I
- 23 think all of our surrounding states are experiencing similar
- 24 results as we are. Fewer and fewer complaints being
- 25 advanced. Debra decided the main reason is probably the

- 1 2009 change and the oversight of our business basically by the federal government. 2 3 Okay. We'll go to the next item then which 4 would be licensing review. We talked about this at one time 5 and made it an agenda item just to close the loop on it. Debra, you want to talk on that a little bit again? 6 7 MS. BLAKE: Yes, absolutely, Mr. Chairman. 8 the last board meeting we provided the board members with a 9 list of the other state agencies and what their frequency of 10 renewal is for their licensees. Again, we discussed that should the Board entertain wanting to change the annual 11 12 renewal cycle to something else, that is going to take 13 legislative change. And so we can continue to agendize it if you want to talk about it in the future or if you prefer 14 15 us to agendize it when the Governor's moratorium on the rule making or before the next legislative session. 16 17 MR. BAIRD: Anybody have any comments on this? I do. Go ahead. 18 Did you want to address the noise on 19 MS. BLAKE: 20 the phone or not?
- MR. BAIRD: Oh, I don't hear it.
- MR. HANEY: Whoever is typing on their keyboard we can hear it over the phone.
- MS. BLAKE: Can you put it on mute, please?
- 25 Thank you.

MR. BAIRD: My thoughts are when it first came out, oh, what an idea. It's maybe a good idea. We could do this. Since then we realize it would require a change in our rules. And the second thing we realized is that we would -- go ahead, do it again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HANEY: Excuse me, one of the people who have called in on the conference call we can hear you typing on your keyboard and it's coming through loud and clear. We'd appreciate it if you could either put your phone on mute or stop typing. Thank you.

MR. DESANCTIS: This is Paul on the phone. I can hear that too very loudly.

MR. OJEH: Yeah. I hear that, too.

MR. BAIRD: Well, it sounds like it stopped. Thanks whoever stopped. Okay. So the one thing is a rule The second thing is recognizing the revenue. did something for two or three years we would recognize the revenue but the expense would go forward and we wouldn't be able to cover it the next year, recover those costs. In the way that we do the accounting here it would give us not so great view of what's going on. We couldn't allocate it per year. We couldn't do that. So we'd have to recognize it in '15 even though, for example, if it's a three year license we would have no revenue coming in in '16 or '17. It would have all been recognized in '15. I don't think that we need to look at it anymore personally, you know. Does anybody think we need to keep agendizing it?

MR. HART: If you can't accrue it, no.

MR. BAIRD: I think we talked about it enough, explored it and moving on. Okay. Thank you, Debra. Next thing will be our fun yearly meeting fee structure. Review and discussion and possible action. We have to take action today or the current fee status stays the same as they are, correct?

MS. BLAKE: You have until May 1st so this is the scheduled meeting. If you were unable to make a decision today, you can have a special meeting. But the purpose of this meeting is to discuss and make any of those decisions.

MR. BAIRD: Thank you.

MS. BLAKE: You're welcome. So under the tab marked fee schedule, the presentation that was sent to each of you in a separate email was a one-page Excel spread sheet. You'll find that on page 27 in your packet. It is just really laying out for you where we are, where we have been and where we think we're going. So the top part of that chart, if you would reference it, is basically a review of the fiscal '13 fees, the current fiscal '14 fees, the revenue collected, the actual costs based on our cost analysis of plan review, installation and inspection, where that fell in terms of a cost differential, and what

percentage of the total revenue for the department was represented there. The blue box or shaded in blue directly below that, is kind of a snapshot where we're at in your statutory requirements to set fees so that you're in the 95 to 105 proration revenue to expenses.

So in fiscal '12 expenses were very high, revenue a little bit lower and the proration ended up at 86.1 which obviously falls short of the 95 minimum. So the board reviewed that, took action in fiscal '13, applied some recommendations made by the board, and for fiscal '13 where it ended up was successful that the proration came out at 96.2 percent for fiscal '13. So very good. So we're within that proration.

Now, you'll recall the whole sunset audit.

The Auditor General's recommendations says the board must recover full recovery costs. So based on that audit the goal is to get to 100 percent. And so the next thing we looked at -- we'll leave the fiscal '14 discussion for in a few minutes.

To the right of the blue box you'll see some fiscal '14 assumptions. As you know, based on the financial reports provided for you in your packet we are eight months into the fiscal year. The numbers provided there for you is the current volume, the prior year-to-date volume, the current year-to-date revenue and the prior --

1	MR. BAIRD: Excuse me, Debra, year-to-date
2	through what month?
3	MS. BLAKE: Year-to-date through February.
4	MR. BAIRD: February.
5	MS. BLAKE: So eight months into it.
6	MR. BAIRD: Eight months.
7	MS. BLAKE: July through February, that's where
8	we're at. So obviously that information lets us make some
9	assumptions which is what we have done for you. So based on
10	those assumptions, if you make no I'm back in the blue
11	box if you make no changes to fees and the assumptions
12	hold true for the remainder of the fiscal year, we end up
13	with revenue at about 920,000, expenses at 905,000, which
14	ends up with a proration of about 1.2 percent.
15	MR. BAIRD: So 101.8 percent.
16	MS. BLAKE: Yes. I'm rounding. Thank you.
17	MR. BAIRD: Yeah.
18	MS. BLAKE: So breaking it down to the very
19	specific task level what we know from the first chart is
20	that we are meeting the cost of the task in all areas except
21	for installation inspection of manufactured homes. Although
22	that's where you have made in the past your fee increases,
23	you know from a couple of years ago that the board voted to
24	make a gradual attempt at recovering full achieving full
25	recovery of that cost, and where we are right now with the

biz would require a \$29 per license increase, again, based 1 on the assumptions to get us at a hundred percent recovery. 2 So the permit fee would be 350 currently. 3 recommendation for full recovery would be to raise it to 4 5 379. Which is about an eight and a half 6 MR. BAIRD: 7 percent, 8.8 percent increase. 8 MS. BLAKE: You're good off the top of your head. 9 MR. BAIRD: Yeah. 10 MS. BLAKE: I don't know. Last year we went from 300 to 350 11 MR. BAIRD: 12 when this first came up and that was a little less than 13 17 percent. So this year you're saying we need another 8 or 9 percent or 29 more dollars? 14 15 MS. BLAKE: Yes. 16 MR. BAIRD: So instead of paying 350 for a permit -- what does that include by the way? 17 MS. BLAKE: It includes three inspections. 18 Ιt also includes the cost of -- give me one second. 19 includes the cost of three inspections. It includes the 20 permit cost issuing the permit and it includes issuing and 21 22 processing what we used to call the insignias, the 23 installation certificates. 24 So all expenses involved with the MR. BAIRD: 25 inspections, the drive time --

1 MS. BLAKE: Staff salary. MR. BAIRD: 2 Getting there, salary. Cost of fuel, cost of office space. 3 MS. BLAKE: 4 All costs considered. 5 MR. BAIRD: Debra, I thought when we talked about this last year and we went from 300 to 350 that we were 6 7 estimating the cost of an inspection to be a little over 8 five hundred bucks? Maybe I'm not recalling that right. 9 MS. BLAKE: No, you are recalling that 10 accurately. Every year we do a cost analysis beginning with This year we've had changes in staff, the volume 11 last year. of staff. We've had changes in the number of permits that 12 13 have been issued, the number of insignias that have been issued. 14 15 So plugging in all of those numbers, volume 16 of inspection, inspection time, travel time, because geographically where we're going makes a big difference as 17 18 well. In looking at that our current cost for manufactured home per permit is \$395.82. Three inspections, insignias 19 20 and permits. Okay. But I heard you say earlier 21 MR. BAIRD: 22 that the 379 would put us at a hundred percent. 23 Oh, I'm sorry. That 395 is combined MS. BLAKE: for FBB and manufactured housing. 24

Okay.

MR. BAIRD:

25

1 MS. BLAKE: Manufactured housing specifically is 2 379. 3 So the costs have come down a bit. MR. BAIRD: MS. BLAKE: That have. There have been factors 4 5 that have reduced that cost a bit. MR. BAIRD: Several of us in the industry think 6 7 that business is going to be better yet this year and maybe 8 even to a 10 to 15 percent increase over last year. What 9 effect would that have on the cost? I know there's fixed 10 costs and variable costs, right? MS. BLAKE: 11 Right. 12 MR. BAIRD: With everything. Would a 10 percent increase in the volume, would that 379 rate cover that and 13 keep us within our proration rate do you think? 14 It's a number that's hard to nail 15 MS. BLAKE: down, you're right. The fixed costs we know what they are. 16 The travel time, the number of permits. 17 18 MR. BAIRD: Inspectors. The best we can guess is where we're 19 MS. BLAKE: tracking so far for the year, eight months. 20 Is it accurate? But it's the best information we have for us. Will it 21 22 impact it? Absolutely. 23 MR. BAIRD: One would think if you do more then the cost per would be reduced. Typically that's how it 24 25 works.

MS. BLAKE: One would think. Except that we're in the process of hiring a new installation inspector. So we have salary and benefit costs there that have to be brought back into the equation.

MR. BAIRD: One more comment for all of our benefits in deliberating this, in 2015 a lot of people are projecting a 25 to 30 percent increase. We're kind of stuck halfway between here because our fiscal year ends half way through some of these years. So if there is this kind of significant increase we have to worry about that because the time frame we're talking about is July 1st of '14 through June of '15.

MS. BLAKE: Yes.

MR. BAIRD: Then just for whatever it's worth there could be another 25 percent increase in '16 which would get us back to before-this-all-started levels. And, you know, nationally we did 50,000 homes. This could be over 100,000 by the end of '16 which is what several, several prognosticators are saying. So that will be very interesting.

MR. GLEESON: I have a question. Would you consider raising it a little higher and then allowing more inspections, like four inspections? Would that be something you'd think about?

MS. BLAKE: That's for you all to consider. The

```
1
    department doesn't make those decisions.
                             Well, I mean --
 2
               MR. GLEESON:
               MS. BLAKE: Well, because we break it down per
 3
 4
    inspection, I can tell you that for each inspection each of
5
    the three, for manufactured housing, based on the fixed
    costs, based on an average of the other costs, fuel and so
6
7
    on, it costs $104.89 for every trip we make out to inspect.
8
               MR. GLEESON: What if you do two at the same
9
    time?
                           You mean like in a park?
10
               MS. BLAKE:
11
               MR. GLEESON:
                             Yeah. You're driving to a park,
12
    you're doing two.
13
                             There's no guarantees they're all
               MS. MARQUEZ:
14
    going to be at the same location.
15
                             I'm just saying there's times when
               MR. GLEESON:
    we try to consolidate them because have to tie up a guy to
16
17
    meet with the inspectors. I'm speaking as an installer.
               MR. HANEY: Can I ask just a couple of questions
18
    out of ignorance? When I used to do construction there were
19
20
    a series of inspections required. At certain stages there
    were certain inspections that had to be done.
21
                                                    Is that true
    with the installation of manufactured homes?
22
23
               MR. GLEESON:
                             Yes.
24
                           Is there a difference in the number
               MR. HANEY:
25
    of inspections that need to be done, for instance, between a
```

1 home that is perhaps ground set on private land as opposed to one that is above ground set in a mobile home park? 2 3 MR. GLEESON: Yes. MS. BLAKE: 4 Yes. 5 MR. GLEESON: Can I answer that? If you do an above-ground set you can 6 MR. BAIRD: 7 usually do it in two inspections. If you set the home and 8 get it inspected and do the skirting and if you're doing 9 vinyl where you don't require any framing inspection, you can do vinyl and skirting, the awnings and then call for a 10 second inspection. As long as everything is done right. 11 12 MR. HANEY: Right. 13 MR. GLEESON: If it's a ground set with block it's usually six inspections. 14 15 MR. HANEY: Would it behoove us to differentiate 16 in our fee structure between those two installations? 17 MR. GLEESON: You mean above ground would be 18 less? Do you have an idea, a feel for the 19 MR. BAIRD: percentage that require three now instead of one or two? 20 We haven't looked at those results in 21 MS. BLAKE: 22 a couple of years. When we last looked at it the overall average of everything was three. To Terry's point if 23 24 there's a lot of activity in parks because you've already 25 got your grounds -- you already have your infrastructure,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're usually no grading, the drainage has already been addressed, typically if everything goes well, you can get two inspections and you're done. As you said with a lot of new homes being sold, the installations on a lot of those become's much more complex. They're adding accessory structures, they're adding garages, they're setting them below ground, digging a pit. So, yes, we actually looked at that a few years ago.

Do we offer different permit fees? get up to three and you could buy a permit for the 379 to If you know you have a complex set and you're going to need six inspections, we could set that. What we do today to address that is every additional trip we make out we charge you time and travel. Basically we're charging you around 106 to \$110 for every time we go out there. Whether you buy a permit for the net result is the same. six and you only need five, you're not getting a refund. Ιf you buy a permit for three and you pay for two, three, four more inspections, you're still paying the same money. So we can do it whichever way the board directs us to do it. that's for you to discuss and decide.

MR. BAIRD: Okay. So, Terry, as an installer would you prefer to pay 379 plus 106 is \$485 and get four inspections or would you rather leave it alone and chance on having four or five?

1 MR. GLEESON: Well, or go somewhere in between. 2 Go 400 and get four inspections because you're not always 3 going to go get four inspections. MR. CHICK: Mr. Chairman, clarification. 4 Are we 5 selling inspections or are we selling a total package? Ι know there's a big charge \$300, \$350 per unit or just a 6 7 series like credits? 8 No, it's per permit. A permit MS. BLAKE: 9 follows the home. So the permit has a particular home, a serial number and with that you get three inspections 10 because that's been the average over history and you pay for 11 each additional inspection if they're required for time and 12 13 Also in that package, as you referred to it, you travel. also get the cost of Ayde, in this case processing the 14 15 permit, issuing it and the cost of processing the 16 installation certificates that have to be affixed to the 17 home before inspection. So it's a package. MR. CHICK: If it's a ground set they're going to 18 pay the initial \$350 plus an additional out-of-pocket costs 19 20 associated with more inspections. 21 MS. BLAKE: Exactly. 22 Now back to the ground set, is it MR. CHICK: 23 more prevalent now to have ground set units than it has in 24 In other words, I'm seeing more ground sets than the past.

25

I'm seeing on stilts.

1 MR. HART: Mr. Chairman? MR. BAIRD: 2 Yes. MR. HART: If 379 works and we're even and if 3 4 there's some oops they're going to charge it anyway, it 5 sounds like it's fixed. MR. BAIRD: That's what we're talking about. 6 7 That may be a little premature. Let's exhaust the 8 discussion first. 9 MR. HART: If it looks like the training is going 10 well, people should be getting better at stalling them. they just might get that six inspections down to five or 11 12 four and start putting that money in the educational fund. 13 MR. BAIRD: Thank you, Mr. Hart. Yes, there is an increase in the number overall percentage of homes being 14 15 sold with ground sets. Would you agree with that, Terry? MR. GLEESON: I'm sitting here 16 Yes. No doubt. and I'm thinking it's almost like we're penalizing somebody 17 18 who puts a home above ground and away they go. And they're paying 180 per inspection versus, or whatever half of that 19 is, versus somebody who has a difficult thing who may have 20 to do four. On average per inspection it's 110 or 21 22 something. But it's the average and that's what, you know, unfortunately that's what we have to work on. We don't want 23 24 to make it overly cumbersome. You can apply for a permit 25 for a park if we decided to have a two-tiered deal, you can

apply for an in-park above ground set and you can apply for an in-ground, below-ground set and you can apply for a private land above-ground and a private land in-ground. You could apply for one with a garage and without a garage. I don't know.

MR. HANEY: Seems to me that that's getting really complicated --

MR. BAIRD: It really is.

MR. HANEY: Whereas the way it is now. And I just brought that up because I was ignorant. I know there's more inspections. I've talked to some installers and they're saying, well, we're having to come out and get four five, six inspections where we didn't before. But from what I understand from this discussion is generally speaking a simple set can be done in two or three inspections.

MR. GLEESON: Yes.

MR. HANEY: If it's more complicated than that there are additional costs and those costs are attributed or billed to eventually the consumer on a per inspection basis. And so if it ends up they need five inspections they got the first three included and pay for two more. If they only need four then they get the first three included and only pay for one more. It seems to me that at that point it seems fair. Certainly that three inspections if somebody shouldn't, but for some reason they happen to fail one of

their inspections, that they still have a free one coming.

MR. BAIRD: Let me add one more point of clarification for our understanding. These inspections aren't arbitrary. These are required specifically by HUD and they're required in every state.

MS. BLAKE: Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BAIRD: And we're following what is required by the HUD mandate. And it's the same in every set. Ι mean, you know, it doesn't change. So we should be getting to the point, as Joe said, we should be getting better and better at this as we are with handling consumer complaints. And we are getting better with the installers I think. So of all of our revenues and all our fees let me say Okay. that the department is recommending that we leave everything alone except for this one installation inspection and they're recommending a \$29 per permit increase. All of the fees can stay the same. That may indeed put us over the hundred percent but still be within our 95 and 105. could lower some of the other fees. I don't know. I think my personal feeling is we've got -- we're heading into some unchartered waters here. Businesses are going to be fluctuating. We hear estimates up, we hear estimates down. We don't really know. Right now we know if we keep it about the same we're going to cover ourselves and do our duty as a board. That's what we're going to do. Anybody else have

1 any discussion? Anybody else have any questions? the chair will entertain a motion to address this. 2 Mr. Chairman? 3 MR. HOYLE: MR. BAIRD: 4 Yes. 5 MR. HOYLE: Currently we're hitting -- this would also be for Debra -- at the current rates, current volume, 6 7 we're hitting 96.2 percent? 8 MS. BLAKE: That's what we did last year, end of 9 fiscal year '13. 10 MR. BAIRD: June 30, yeah. 11 MR. HOYLE: With the projections without the 12 bump, where would we hit? 13 If you look in the box --MS. BLAKE: MR. GLEESON: 101.8. 14 15 MS. BLAKE: Third one down, FY14 based on these assumptions 101.8. 16 MR. HOYLE: 17 That was non-inclusive of the bump? MS. BLAKE: Not inclusive of any fee increase. 18 19 MR. HOYLE: Okay. 20 MS. BLAKE: In addition to the fees just so I have it on the table for you to consider is if those 21 22 assumptions are correct we're going to get to full-cost recovery. And at the same time we're not getting the full 23 cost recovery to the one particular fee that you set and 24 25 that is for installation inspections for manufactured

- 1 housing. MR. BAIRD: I think what I hear Debra telling us 2 is that the sunset law review asked us to get to 100 percent 3 recovery in each of the categories, not just overall. 4 5 that right? MS. BLAKE: I'll defer to Director Palma. 6 Ι 7 believe it was overall. 8 MR. PALMA: Mr. Chairman, that is the way that 9 the department interpreted that recommendation was the 10 overall expense, not each particular category. MR. BAIRD: Oh, okay. So if we don't change 11 12 anything and business stays about the same as it is or two 13 years projections, then we're going to be at 101.8 percent. MS. BLAKE: Yes, that's the prediction. 14 15 MR. CHICK: Debra, didn't you say that we were 16 adding more personnel. 17 MS. BLAKE: We have an open position in Tucson for an installation inspector. So there will be that salary 18 that will be added to the cost analysis for next year. 19 That had not been included in this 20 MR. CHICK: Is this an additional cost that we're going to 21 past year. 22 be absolving?
- 25 replacement.

Yes.

MS. BLAKE:

MR. BAIRD:

23

24

Was that an additional or is that

1 MS. BLAKE: It is a replacement. MR. BAIRD: We didn't increase our staff. 2 3 MS. BLAKE: No, we did not. But it's a vacant 4 position for awhile. 5 MR. BAIRD: But you've included their potential expense when filled in the overall expense. 6 7 MS. BLAKE: It was not included in the fiscal '13 8 analysis. We were down one FTE, sorry, full time employee, 9 and so that analysis for fiscal '13 was short one person 10 which is why it went from 500 and something down to 379 per 11 permit. It was a factor. 12 MR. BAIRD: Got you. So this 101.8 percent does 13 not include that one guy we're hiring? Yes, it does. 14 MS. BLAKE: 15 That was the point Arthur was asking, MR. BAIRD: 16 I think. As you pointed out, Mr. Chairman, we 17 MR. HANEY: have several responsibilities, one of which is to make sure 18 that our -- by statute we're between 95 and 105 and on the 19 review we need to be at 100 and not 95. We also have a 20 responsibility primarily as a consumer protection board to 21 22 make sure that we're not doing things that would be harmful 23 for the consumer. And it seems to me that if we're looking 24 at what we have right now without a bump and being at 101 25 that we fulfilled both of those obligations as was noted

If we find that halfway through the fiscal year 1 last year. we're running at a deficit either because of unforeseen 2 expenses or a drop in revenue, we could make adjustments at 3 4 that time. 5 MR. BAIRD: Can we? You can only adjust the fees once per 6 MS. BLAKE: 7 year was my understanding. 8 MR. HANEY: I thought that's what we did last 9 year. That's been my understanding as well. 10 MR. BAIRD: 11 MS. HARRINGTON: We have once a year. 12 MS. BLAKE: It's annually. By May 1st. 13 MR. BAIRD: Yes, by May 1st. 14 MS. BLAKE: 15 MR. BAIRD: By May 1st. Here's our shot for the 16 year, you know. Last year we're at 96. This year if the way business goes we think it will get better, not worse. 17 It's a shot. So we just have to decide basically I think do 18 you want to leave it alone or do we want to up the 19 installation fees by 29 bucks? 20 Do we want to guess it being a 101 or 21 MR. HANEY: 22 do we want to guess it being a 104. 23 It suggests both ways. I think we've MR. BAIRD: explored it. Do you have anything else to say? 24 25 MR. HANEY: No, I don't.

1 MR. BAIRD: Yes, Mr. Gleeson? MR. GLEESON: I'd say we leave it at 350. 2 MR. BAIRD: Is that a motion? 3 MR. GLEESON: I make a motion we leave the fees 4 5 at 350. To include that why don't you talk 6 MR. BAIRD: 7 about all the fees? 8 MR. GLEESON: I make a motion that we leave all 9 the fees the same. 10 MR. HOYLE: I second the motion. All in favor signify by saying aye. 11 MR. BAIRD: 12 Opposed. Motion carries. Oh, phone. Zeek? 13 MR. OJEH: Yeah? MR. BAIRD: How would you like to vote? 14 15 I did say aye. MR. OJEH: MR. BAIRD: And Paul. I think Paul left us. 16 17 Paul, are you there now? 18 MR. DESANCTIS: Yes, I am. I dropped out on my 19 phone. 20 MR. BAIRD: Okay, fine. We had a motion and a 21 second and we asked for votes. Did you hear part of that? 22 MR. DESANCTIS: Probably right in the middle of it. Just real bullet point, what? 23 24 The motion was to leave fees as they MR. BAIRD: 25 are right now for this year and it was seconded and it's

- been voted on. You didn't have -- so far everyone has voted
 for it.
- MR. DESANCTIS: Yes, I do.
- 4 MR. BAIRD: You do?
- 5 MR. DESANCTIS: Aye.

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 6 MR. BAIRD: Unanimous.
 - MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman and board members,
 before we move out of this exciting topic on fees, I have
 two more points that I would like to bring before you. The
 first one doesn't require a vote. It's just informational.
 At a board meeting back in November of 2008 for those of you
 who were -- I know Neal was there --
- 13 MR. HANEY: I just can't remember that far back.
 - What did we talk about at that MS. BLAKE: I'm just teasing you. I know what we talked meeting, Neal? The board voted at that meeting to prepare for us to be compliant with the HUD 3285 requirements with installation. Part of all of that discussion is installers are required to attend annual training. It was voted on by the Board that the department would provide an opportunity to achieve that training requirement by developing some training and we would also offer other opportunities. Some stakeholders have annual conventions. And so it's about getting information out there. The Board voted at that point to establish a training fee and that training fee

would be \$100 per licensee that's required to do it which is 1 an installer per year. So the reason that I'm bringing that 2 to your attention we have never included that in our 3 schedule of fees. So we plan to do that when we publish the 4 5 fee schedule for the upcoming fiscal year. So just a point of information. If there's any questions and if anyone 6 7 would like to see a copy of those transcribed minutes, 8 they're available on our website. If you have any problem 9 getting to those and you want to see them Ayde can help you 10 with that. Since you brought it up, do we have 11 MR. BAIRD: any idea about the cost involved in putting these on? 12 13 MS. BLAKE: No. We have not done a cost analysis We used to provide certificates, we used to 14 15 provide a binder with all the materials and we found that

provide a binder with all the materials and we found that was not cost effective. So we don't do that, we have staff salaries but we can add that to our list of let's do a cost analysis. So for next year's discussion on these fees we can have that for you and decide whether or not that fee needs to be adjusted going forward.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BAIRD: Or if we don't add that to our list we can do it at the next meeting.

MS. BLAKE: You can't adjust the fee, though. We can work towards a cost analysis, but you can't adjust the fee.

MR. BAIRD: Let's wait until we're doing the same thing next year.

MS. BLAKE: Want to wait until next year?

MR. BAIRD: Yes. We can't change it now -- we could change it now but we'd be guessing again. Thank you.

MS. BLAKE: One more item while we're still on this part of the agenda. Making sure it was agendized.

Yes.

MR. BAIRD: It is.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BLAKE: Thank you. Okay. So we've had discussion over the last several board meetings about should we change from measuring for purposes of permit fees buildings based on linear foot or should we convert to square foot which is a more common industry approach to it. And so I put this chart together for you to see what -- for you to look at what that would mean and if we need to reopen for discussion on the fee for that piece of it. What we do know about the permits for factory-built buildings is we're covering costs. So there is not a recommendation to change the fee. What the discussion has been is should we be calculating it linear foot or square foot. So this chart that was in your separate handout is in your packet.

The most common building sizes are listed there for you. The calculation for linear foot is there and cost of the permit based on the current fee schedule of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$4.50 per linear foot. If you use that same dollar amount and convert it into square feet, what it really does it doesn't change the bottom number that is going to be paid by the industry, but it changes what we would present on our fee schedule into the fee per foot. So the most common 12 by 60 instead of being \$4.90 a linear foot calculates out to 90 cents per square foot. The bigger the building the lower the per foot cost as is represented to you. So you've got a 36 by 60, you are still paying \$864 for the permit, but the actual per foot cost for the square footage is 40 cents opposed to 90. So that's the information for you to move forward with your discussion on that agenda item. MR. BAIRD: Uh-huh. So, okay. So if we use the 64 cent average that 12 by 60 permit fee would go from \$648 down to \$461 or \$187 less or almost 30 percent less. MS. BLAKE: Good point, Mr. Chairman. the average for you. But for your consideration and discussion, again, and there is certainly a representative on the board from that part of the after industry --MR. BAIRD: Right.

MS. BLAKE: -- the most common box they build is 12 by 60, so we would lose revenue if we applied the average. So it would be the department's recommendation to consider breakdowns based on those building dimensions. If there are any odd-sized buildings or not part of the common,

- we would have to figure it at the 450 but convert it into square foot.
- MR. BAIRD: Thank you. I think Joe or Everette
 brought this up. Joe, I think you did. Joe, maybe you

 could take us back to why you brought this up and the
 rationale behind it.
- 7 MR. HART: I don't know if I was the one who 8 brought it up.
- 9 MR. BAIRD: Okay.
- MR. GLEESON: Where's the commercial FBB's on the chart.
- MS. BLAKE: On the fee schedule?
- MR. GLEESON: It says it's residential. Put it
- 14 in the residential?
- MR. BAIRD: It's included in that fee I think.
- 16 MS. BLAKE: Are you looking on page 29 in your --
- MR. GLEESON: Page 27. Is it on page 29?
- 18 MS. BLAKE: It's on page 29. That's your current
- 19 fees.
- MR. GLEESON: No, I was talking about in the year to date summary.
- 22 MR. HANEY: On 27.
- MS. BLAKE: The residential?
- MR. GLEESON: Well, no, the FBBs.
- 25 MR. HANEY: It looks like all the FBBs are

- 1 included.
- 2 MR. GLEESON: The commercial FBBs are not
- 3 included.
- 4 MS. BLAKE: On page 27 he's saying under the --
- 5 MR. GLEESON: The installation inspection shows
- 6 450. That's for residential. Where's the commercial -- is
- 7 there a chart that shows --
- 8 MS. BLAKE: They're not broken down by
- 9 residential and commercial. Just by FBB.
- 10 MR. GLEESON: Okay. It's just 450 which is a
- 11 permit fee for residential, correct?
- MS. BLAKE: Yes.
- 13 MR. HART: When it came up we were talking about
- 14 two stories.
- 15 MR. BAIRD: Oh, so a two-story 12 by 60 has the
- 16 same permit fee as if it was one story.
- MS. BLAKE: No, it's per story. The fee today is
- 18 450 per linear per story. So if you've got a two story it's
- 19 going to be the same thing in the chart. Ayde calculates
- them all the time. The same would be in the square foot.
- 21 If you decide to convert to square foot it would still be
- 22 per story.
- MR. HART: Right. But it wasn't.
- 24 MS. MARQUEZ: It always has been per story.
- MR. HART: It always has been?

1 MS. MARQUEZ: Uh-huh. So maybe we misunderstood the way it 2 MR. BAIRD: 3 was. MS. BLAKE: Well, the fee schedule actually says 4 5 that and always has for the last several years. So much per 6 story. 7 MR. BAIRD: Does anyone have an argument that we 8 should change from linear to square on the board? 9 MR. HART: It depends on how you're going to -are we talking about going with an average? 10 MR. HOYLE: 11 No. 12 MR. BAIRD: No. I don't see how we could. 13 could do whatever, but it's going to catch up to us when we start analyzing for next year when we start falling within 14 15 the 95 to 105. Have you had complaints? 16 MS. BLAKE: No, we have had no complaints. just been a discussion that has been laying out there for 17 18 the board for some time. And so I hoped it might be helpful to present it with the breakdown. 19 20 MR. BAIRD: I think it is. 21 MS. BLAKE: Differently. 22 And inspection-wise the FBBs are doing MR. HART: 23 fine? 24 Are you talking about the revenue? MS. BLAKE: 25 MR. HART: Revenue?

44 1 MS. BLAKE: Yes. They're covering cost. 2 MR. BAIRD: Yes, Mr. Chick? 3 MR. CHICK: Does this require any action on the 4 board's part? 5 MS. BLAKE: If you want to change from the linear foot measurement to square foot, yes, we would need you to 6 7 do that. 8 MR. CHICK: I think that we need to leave well 9 enough alone. Do I have a second? 10 MR. BAIRD: MR. HANEY: I'll second that. 11 12 MR. BAIRD: On the phone, Zeek and Paul? 13 MR. HOYLE: Zeek? 14 MR. OJEH: Yes. 15 MR. BAIRD: Zeek, Paul? 16 MR. OJEH: Yes. There's a motion to continue with our 17 MR. BAIRD: linear foot charge for commercial FBB permitting fees versus 18 changing to a square footage. 19 20 MR. OJEH: Yes. 21 MR. BAIRD: You vote yes to leave it the same. 22 MR. OJEH: Yes. 23 Paul, how about you? Do you vote? MR. BAIRD: 24 MR. DESANCTIS: Aye. 25 MR. BAIRD: Thank you. Okay, motions carries.

Any other items on the financial fee review, Ms. Blake? 1 No, Mr. Chairman. I think we're 2 MS. BLAKE: 3 ready to move forward. Thank you. Thank you. And thanks to 4 MR. BAIRD: everybody. Next item is call to the public. 5 Yes, Mr. Anderson? 6 7 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the 8 board, excuse me for not standing with the lap folder. MУ 9 name is Ken Anderson with the Arizona Housing Association. 10 There was an action item from the January meeting. the recovery fund is near and dear to me U.S. Home had a 11 12 claim of 15,000 against them. Do they currently have their 13 license? 14 MS. BLAKE: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. Give me one 15 second. On page 3 of the previous notes. 16 MR. ANDERSON: Is that a dealership out of Tucson? 17 MR. HANEY: MR. ANDERSON: They have U.S. Home locations in 18 two or three different spots but they were also in Tucson. 19 20 MS. BLAKE: Thank you. Let me go back on the agenda item, the departmental update. That is there and I 21 apologize for skipping over it. As follow-up to the board 22 23 meeting in January, the responsible licensee for that 24 \$15,000 recovery fund payout was U.S. Homes as we reported 25 previously. The current status of their license is it was

suspended on July 15th and remains suspended at this time 1 2 because they have not reimbursed the recovery fund for that 3 payout. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. 4 5 MR. BAIRD: Since it's on the table what's the process on hitting their bond? 6 7 MS. BLAKE: Yes, the department has a process in 8 place, Mr. Chairman, board members, that we do file a claim 9 against the bond. But I don't have a status on that. will send an e-mail after this board meeting --10 MR. BAIRD: 11 Thank you. 12 MS. BLAKE: -- to let you know where we're at on 13 bond recovery. How much is their bond? 14 MR. BAIRD: 15 MS. BLAKE: They have a 12, 25,000. Okay. Anything else, Mr. Anderson? 16 MR. BAIRD: 17 MR. ANDERSON: No. 18 MR. BAIRD: Thank you. Yes? My name is Gary Wheeler. 19 MR. WHEELER: 20 MR. BAIRD: Wheeler? I'd like to talk about the 21 MR. WHEELER: Yes. 22 inspection process. It seems like we're getting an awful 23 lot of failures for silly reasons. We've had failures for 24

We can add this as an agenda item.

MR. BAIRD:

1 MR. WHEELER: Okay. If it's not on our agenda we can't 2 MR. BAIRD: 3 talk about it. But we can certainly add a discussion for 4 the next meeting. 5 MR. FEDERICK: I second that, too. We can listen to what he has to say. 6 MR. HANEY: 7 MR. BAIRD: Yes. 8 We just can't take any action on it; MR. HANEY: 9 is that correct? 10 MR. BAIRD: I think that's correct. 11 MS. HARRINGTON: You can't take any action, nor 12 can you even discuss it with him. 13 MR. BAIRD: Right. MS. HARRINGTON: All you can do is direct staff 14 15 to put it on the next agenda. You can hear what his issue 16 is and direct staff to put it on the agenda. 17 MR. BAIRD: That seems appropriate. MS. HARRINGTON: You can't answer his questions. 18 MR. BAIRD: We will listen to you and we will add 19 20 an agenda item. Well, what I've got problems with 21 MR. WHEELER: 22 is we're having a lot of failures in inspections for silly We've had failures to inspections because he wanted 23 things. 24 to see documentation for an all-thread bolt saying it's a 25 grade bolt. We've had inspections fail because they want to

see documentation for galvanized nail. And another thing 1 2 about inspections is it used to be when we would do our skirting, after the foundation was passed, it was okay to do 3 the skirting, we had an access panel, we do the whole 4 5 skirting. The whole skirting would be completed. inspector would come out, go underneath and check it all out 6 7 and it was a done deal. Now it takes two days to put a 8 skirting on one building and our costs have been doubled. I 9 believe that inspectors need to do their job, open the door and go underneath and look so they don't have to make two 10 There's a lot of things that you can say about doing 11 trips. 12 an inspection at one time. Get underneath and look. 13 don't want to crawl under there. That's my issues. Bring it up next time. I appreciate you listening. 14

MR. BAIRD: Please state your name and your company.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: Dave Jones with Modular Spaces. What we've been informed with the commercial modular installation, and I'm talking about the water and sewer connections to the building, if we are not hired to oversee it or install the water and sewer, we are also -- we are responsible for that work. What I mean by that the contractor installing the water and sewer has to pull a local permit. That inspector comes out and inspects it and passes it, yet the state inspector requires looking at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

same thing, inspecting the same thing and has the potential to fail if he wants to for whatever reason. That means I have to take time out there to meet with the inspector on a water and sewer line that's already been inspected by the city, permitted by the city, inspected and passed by the city, but yet the state is going to hold us responsible for it passing and failing in the OMH inspector's eyes. is something wrong here with this. We're double inspecting, we're double wasting my time and if the inspector finds an issue I have to deal with the contractor to correct it on work that I'm not even paid to do that. But because our modular building's on the project we are held responsible for the water and sewer connections to the main tap. Although it's locally inspected and passed we're still held responsible for it. I think that's wrong. I think it needs to be reviewed.

MR. BAIRD: Thank you. Any other comments?

MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman, board members, we can either put this on the next agenda if it falls within the scope of the board's powers and duties. If it's a discussion that needs to be had by the public with the department in terms of us adhering to our statutory obligations, which is what I heard from Mr. Jones, then maybe it would be best to schedule a meeting with the department and maybe we could help determine if it requires

a rule change which is under your powers and duties, or if it's not really related to that. Would that be helpful or do you want me to agendize it and then talk about it after we have a discussion with any ROC contractors or licensee that we need to?

6 MR. BAIRD: I don't know. What do you guys 7 think?

MR. HOYLE: I think that they should meet with the department first.

MR. BAIRD: I agree. Similar issues have been, in the past several issues that you mentioned, some of these things happen with the HUD side and I think that was alluded to earlier that we've been having meetings, scheduled meetings, with the association has just kind of taken the charge. But it doesn't have to be the association. It could just be an open invitation from the department to the licensees to get together and discuss things. I don't think it's the board's purview to be a referee, if you will or an interpreter of the rules. But we could see how these things go.

MS. BLAKE: So we would have discussions with Mr. Wheeler, with Mr. Jones, and anyone else who needs to have discussions over the department's policies?

MR. FEDERICK: I want to be put in this also.

25 Tom Federick, Arizona Modular.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 MR. BAIRD: Right. I think what we should do is put it out to all licensees. 2 3 MS. BLAKE: Anyone who needs to have a meeting with the department should send an email to me and schedule 4 5 And then if there are issues that come that need the board's review we could determine it at that point. 6 7 MS. HARRINGTON: At that time you can just 8 discuss it with the chairman what you need to put on there. 9 MS. BLAKE: Is that fair to everybody? 10 MR. BAIRD: Sounds good. MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman. 11 12 MR. BAIRD: We'll proceed that way. Tom Federick with Arizona Modular. 13 MR. FEDERICK: That's why we have three or four inspections. You send them 14 15 out the first time you get one inspector. You send them out 16 the next time you get another inspector. He finds something different than the first inspect. I'm taking care of what 17 18 the first inspector said and now I've got another one. So now I have to call them back again. That's what's 19 That's why we have three or four different 20 happening. inspectors and it's costing us money. 21 22 MR. BAIRD: I'll make this comment to all of you. The department has never in the history -- I've been in 23 24 business a long time -- and they've never been more open to 25 talk to everybody about anything and everything. So they're

1 there for you. They will meet with you at any time. MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman, before you move on to 2 adjourning the meeting if that's where you're at, Ayde? 3 MS. MARQUEZ: I provided in the board's packet 4 5 the roster with all the board members information. could double check and make sure your phone numbers are 6 7 still accurate, your email address, mailing address. 8 just email me so I can have that corrected. 9 MR. BAIRD: Thank you. 10 MS. BLAKE: Thank you, Ayde. If I may add to that. Please be aware that 11 this roster is generated by Boards and Commissions with the 12 13 Governor's office. It is a public record. If you have contact information on there that you would not like to be 14 15 public should there be a request for it, please address that 16 with Ayde in the e-mail with any changes. 17 MR. BAIRD: Thank you. All right. Great meeting I'll remind everybody that the next meeting is 18 scheduled for July 16th, same time, same place. Let's make 19 20 our plans accordingly. The chairman will now entertain a 21 motion to adjourn. 22 MR. CHICK: Mr. Chairman? 23 MR. BAIRD: Yes, sir. Question on the agenda. 24 MR. CHICK: 25 MR. BAIRD: Yeah.

1 MR. CHICK: We -- our statutory obligation is how 2 many times a year? 3 MR. BAIRD: I'm not sure. MS. BLAKE: There is no statutory obligation. 4 5 It's by determination -- called by the chairman. MR. CHICK: If I may I'd like to make a motion 6 7 that the July 16th meeting be waived unless there's an 8 emergency or anything that would require us getting 9 together. 10 MR. BAIRD: Why? Because I think --11 MR. CHICK: 12 MR. OJEH: Aye. I'm going to be on vacation. 13 MR. CHICK: Why? Point of order. The chairman didn't 14 MS. BLAKE: 15 call for a vote. I like that idea. 16 MR. OJEH: 17 MR. CHICK: Get away from the heat. At least, you know, I'm speaking for myself. I'm not sure if anyone 18 around the table would agree with me. That's why I threw it 19 out there with a motion. 20 MR. BAIRD: We have a motion on the table. 21 Is 22 there a second? 23 MS. HARRINGTON: You don't need a motion on this. You probably shouldn't because it's not on the agenda. 24 25 can have a discussion because you have on the agenda just

- future dates. The chairman can direct staff to schedule or
 not. You can do it to see who would be available. If there
 is not a quorum I think you probably wouldn't be able to
 have a meeting anyway.
 - MR. CHICK: I speak from actual experience because I was away last July and I tried this telephone thing and where I was it was not successful. And to sit there for a couple of hours and listen on the telephone is not the nicest way to attend a meeting. And I do apologize for missing last meeting because I had pneumonia. And I don't want to miss another one.
 - MR. BAIRD: Don't do that again. Get pneumonia I meant. Comments from other members.
 - MR. HOYLE: I'm available.

- MR. HART: You told me if I ever missed a meeting I was going to be president. So I think he's going to be the next president.
- MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman and board members, would it be helpful as we get closer to that scheduled meeting, and again, it's scheduled for your planning purposes, to see if there are topics that are necessary or of interest to the board to have the meeting and then the chairman will either call or cancel the meeting scheduled?
- MR. HANEY: Seems to me that we did that once before, that there was nothing on the agenda and the meeting

1 was canceled.

5

- 2 MR. BAIRD: Exactly.
- 3 MR. HANEY: That's your prerogative.
- 4 MR. BAIRD: We've done that over the years and
- 6 year. We know that we all can't be here at every meeting.

that's a prerogative we have. We're asking four times a

- 7 It happens. Okay. And there is no requirement for us to
- 8 all be here for every meeting. It's four times a year and
- 9 we meet for, what, we've been here an hour and a half now.
- 10 Give an hour both ways for travel. So ten hours a year is
- 11 what we're committing to here and I think we should just
- 12 stick to our schedule myself. If there's nothing to talk
- 13 about there is no reason to have a meeting. And Debra and I
- 14 can decide that and we have decided that.
- MS. BLAKE: Mr. Chairman, it's for you to decide.
- 16 MR. BAIRD: Yes, I know. I made the decision
- 17 based on input there was nothing to talk about. So I'd like
- 18 to keep it that way.
- MS. BLAKE: It's up to your discretion.
- MR. BAIRD: Okay. Motion that we adjourn. Did
- 21 we do that?
- MR. GLEESON: I make a motion we adjourn the
- 23 meeting.
- MR. HART: I second.
- MR. HOYLE: I second.

	56
1	MR. BAIRD: All in favor?
2	Thank you Paul, thank you Zeek. It's over.
3	
4	(The meeting was adjourned at 2:36 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	57
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	I, CAROLE A. WHIPPLE, hereby certify that the
6	foregoing pages numbered from 1 to 57 inclusive, constitute
7	a full, true and accurate record of the proceedings had in
8	the above matter, all done to the best of my skill and
9	ability.
10	DATED this 20th day of April, 2014.
11	
12	CAROLE A. WHIPPLE
13	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER Certification #50089
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	